



The Voice

October 29, 1987

Bloomsburg University

*The Gadfly shall find out
who is wise, and who
pretends to be wise.*

-Socrates

Bloomsburg, PA 17815

Tallying error changes parade competition outcome



Tau Kappa Epsilon and Alpha Sigma Tau didn't place in the top five of Saturday's parade competition. However, after some refiguring, it was announced that their float had received the most points.

by Susan Fallows
Staff Writer

The Community Government Association Senate passed a motion Monday night authorizing the Homecoming Committee to distribute the float prizes as they were announced on Saturday and to award Tau Kappa Epsilon and Alpha Sigma Tau an additional monetary prize and the first-place trophy.

This action was taken to resolve the controversy that arose when it was discovered that the points were counted incorrectly, thus denying TKE and AST 18 points. When the points were recounted, the "Jaws" float, which had not appeared in the top five originally, moved into first place.

Dr. John Trathen, director of student activities, told CGA that he rechecked the score sheets after he heard a rumor that one of the floats had been disqualified. He found that not only had one of the judges become

confused about the order of the floats, but also that numbers had been incorrectly transferred from the judges score sheet to the tally sheet. In one category, TKE and AST were given 26 points instead of the 44 points they were intended to have.

Trathen said that he checked with the judge in question to determine how the points should have been recorded. He then recalculated the scores and called a meeting of representatives from the fraternities and sororities before the senate meeting Monday afternoon.

Phi Sigma Pi, who had originally tied for first place, was not notified of this meeting. Trathen later apologized for the oversight.

Trathen suggested that the prizes be awarded in this way so that no one was penalized for the mistake. He pointed out that it was unfair to take away the cash prizes from the original winners as many of them had already spent the money. He also said it was unfair to

deny TKE and AST when they were the rightful winners and had put so much effort into their float.

Trathen also said that this action might put the Homecoming Committee in the "red" financially, but that it was the only equitable way to rectify the problem.

In financial business, the Senate passed a motion allocating \$2000 for a Provost Lecture Series speaker. Money was also given to the Residence Hall Association and to Quest to send members to conferences.

The Fencing Club was denied money for equipment as it CGA policy not to fund supplies. The Student Nurses Association, who had recently changed their by-laws to make them an open organization, were asked to resubmit their request to the Finance Committee.

The Senate passed a motion to approve Deana R. Brown, Michael D. Coates and Jody M. Benkovic as new members of the Judicial Board.

Fraternity to host annual road race

by Lori Mekulski
for The Voice

The brothers of Lambda Chi Alpha will host the eighth annual Don Horn Run for Cancer Saturday, Oct. 31. This yearly event is held to raise money for the American Cancer Society.

Registration for the run will be from 8:30 a.m. to 9:45 a.m. in front of Carver Hall and the race will begin at 10 a.m. The race fee is \$5 up to the race date, \$6 on the race date, or a minimum of \$6 in pledges to be turned in at the time of registration.

The five-mile course begins in front of Carver Hall. The runners will proceed down Main Street and make a left at the Bloomsburg monument onto River Road.

They will circle around the fair grounds and make their final stretch back up Main Street to the monument where it is completed.

An awards ceremony will be held in front of the court house on Main Street upon completion of the run. T-shirts will be given to the first 50 registrants and awards will be offered to the overall male and female winners in the different age groups.

Vice President of Lambda Chi Alpha Jim Montalto, director of the fund

raiser, said he expects the run to be very successful. Last year's run took in \$1000 for the American Cancer Society and this year he hopes to reach a goal of \$1500. Several Bloomsburg area businesses have sponsored the event and other separate donations have been made.

The run was formed eight years ago in the name of Don Horn, a Lambda Chi Alpha brother who died from cancer his senior year at Bloomsburg University.

Don Horn was born and grew up in Montoursville, PA where he attended Montoursville High School. He was involved in wrestling and the yearbook staff.

Upon his high school graduation he attended Williamsport Area Community College where he was again a member of the yearbook staff.

Later he transferred to Bloomsburg University in his junior year and became an active brother in the Lambda Chi Alpha Fraternity.

Advisor of Lambda Chi Alpha Mr. William Acierno commented that with the Lambda Chi Alpha brothers having the run "hopefully someday we'll put an end to this disease."

Montalto agreed that "with our help good people like Don Horn may someday live."

Specter proposes reforms for CIA

by Walter Pincus
L.A. Times-Washington Post Service

Sen. Arlen Specter, R-Pa., a member of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Tuesday introduced legislative reforms for the Central Intelligence Agency stemming from the Iran-Contra scandal, including a proposal calling for mandatory prison terms for government officials convicted of lying to Congress.

He also joined other Senate and House members who have proposed that President Reagan and his successors be required by law to inform Congress within one or two days after approval of any covert intelligence operations.

Specter also proposes splitting the Director of Central Intelligence job into two posts: a Director of National Intelligence to be the President's primary adviser on foreign intelligence, supervise all U.S. intelligence-gathering agencies, and serve as a member of the National Security Council; and a Director of the CIA to manage the agency and carry out covert action.

Currently, the CIA director is charged with providing the president and his advisers objective intelligence relating to policy and at the same time carrying out covert operations to support that policy.

Specter said that splitting the job would end what he called problems of "objectivity and reliability" created by a "foreign policy activist" such as the late William J. Casey. Referring to differences that developed between CIA Director Casey and Secretary of State George P. Shultz, Specter said, "We cannot afford to have two secretaries of state, two foreign policy makers who may be attempting to move the country in different directions, one overtly and the other covertly."

Casey, he said, was not the first director "who desired to be involved to some degree in the formulation or implementation of foreign policy, nor is he likely to be the last."

Specter called for a presidentially-appointed, independent inspector

general for the CIA. The CIA is one of the few government agencies whose head still appoints his own inspector general, which Specter said "is not conducive to objectivity."

He pointed out that the CIA official with operational responsibility

for the controversial 1984 mining of Nicaraguan harbors was later named inspector general.

Other sources pointed out Tuesday that the current inspector general's investigation of CIA activities on behalf of the Contras during the time it was prohibited by law, had to be redone when it became apparent agency personnel were telling him false stories.

Specter's proposal for congressional notification of all covert actions within 24 hours after presidential approval appears to have the best chance for passage because versions of it have already been introduced by top members of both the House and Senate intelligence panels.

Sen. William Cohen, R-Maine, vice chairman of the Senate committee, introduced legislation requiring notice within 48 hours. Cohen is expected to announce Wednesday that his measure is supported by intelligence committee chairman Sen. David Boren, D-Okla., and three key members of the Iran-Contra investigating panel: Sen. Daniel K. Inouye, D-Hawaii, the chairman; Sen. Warren Rudman, R-N.H., the vice chairman; and Sen. George J. Mitchell, D-Maine.

Under current law, the president is required to consult with Congress in advance on covert operations, but the chief executive is allowed, in special circumstances, to give only "timely notice" after an operation has begun.

Reagan used that loophole to delay telling legislators about the Iran arms sales until after the sales were exposed in the press, some 10 months after he had authorized them.

The White House has traditionally opposed a time limit on such notification and in a letter to Boren and Cohen

after the Iran-Contra hearings ended, Reagan indicated he still would demand the right to delay notification in special circumstances.

In demanding jail sentences for officials found deliberately misleading committees, Specter argued that congressional oversight cannot be accomplished if Congress is given false or misleading testimony.

Specter said the situation is "especially problematic where witnesses appear before the intelligence committees in a secret session ... Under those circumstances, the committees realistically have little or no opportunity to determine the truth."

Specter, who believes his committee was misled last year by Casey and other CIA officials during their initial inquiry into the Iran-Contra matter, said "this mandatory jail sentence is intended to put members of the Executive Branch on notice that the matter is extremely serious as reflected by the heavy penalty."

An alumni, faculty and student reception, welcoming Bloomsburg University Alumni to homecoming weekend, was held on Friday, Oct. 23 in the Presidents Lounge, and offered an opportunity for BU alumni to mix with students.

The reception gave Bloomsburg students an opportunity to meet and

Career Fair scheduled for today

The Annual Career Fair will be held today, Oct. 29, 1987 in the Multipurpose Rooms A & B of the Kehr Union Building from 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Representatives will be available to discuss career and job related topics, as well as graduate school policies.

A workshop entitled "Successful Interviewing" will be presented at 2 p.m. in the Blue Room by Gary Pritchard, senior recruiting manager with IBM Corp.

Organizations planning to attend include The Bon Ton, Kellogg's, IBM Corp., FBI, Roadway Express, Roy Rogers Restaurants, YMCA of America, plus many others.

Graduate/professional schools attending are Delaware Law School, Shippensburg University, Temple University, Marywood College, and others.

talk with the alumni about career opportunities.

The event was sponsored by the Husky Ambassadors headed by the Director of Alumni Affairs Mr. Doug Hippensiel. Mike Alden, President of the Husky Ambassadors and a senior at Bloomsburg University commented, "Our purpose on having the reception is to get our alumni and students together in a casual environment to discuss careers."

Mrs. Peggy Burns, a 1967 graduate of Bloomsburg and now a seven year member of the Alumni Board, found the reception to be an excellent idea for the alumni and students to meet and learn about each other.

Index

Commentary:

Read why a tax increase is not the answer.

page 2

Nelson Field house rocked Tuesday night.

See review.

page 3

Cross country team prepares for state meet at East'burg.

page 4



Members of the Bloomsburg University marching band, Becky Fitzgerald, Stan Achorn and Bonnie Hummel, prepare to take to the field for the halftime performance on Saturday.

Photo by Dina Pomerance

Commentary

Taxes not the answer

by Paul Mellon
Staff Columnist

Despite all the dire predictions of impending doom it appears that life will continue in wake of "Black Monday." However, repercussions from the dramatic 508 point plunge in the stock market may truly spell trouble for the future.

It is no secret that Congress wants to increase taxes. The Democrats have been trying to raise taxes vigorously over the last few years. President Reagan has resisted all attempts and has repeatedly vowed to allow more taxes only over his "dead body."

The President has thus far succeeded in holding the line on new taxation but it appears that the increasing pressure of current economic events may break that line. We had better hope this does not occur.

The purported reason given by liberal Democrats for increasing taxes is that increased revenue will be used to reduce the budget deficit, which has all of a sudden become the most feared thing on earth. Well, that might sound intelligent but that is not at all what happens in "real life."

As the President pointed out over the last six years, for every dollar of increased revenue the government received, federal spending has increased by \$1.25.

Also, while taxes represent 19 percent of the spending in the GNP, and have remained basically that since the early 1980's, the amount of spending has steadily increased to about 24 percent of the spending for the GNP. The fact is simply that more taxes has always meant more spending and this is not a good way to "balance" the budget.

There are many theories on how to resolve the budget deficit. Yet it seems that the most obvious way would be to increase the growth of the economy. This is essentially what has been occurring for almost five years. We are currently in the 59th month of

economic expansion. Unemployment is now below 6 percent and lower than it has been in a decade. More people have jobs in America than ever before and inflation remains below 4 percent, lower than it has been in 20 years.

Recently released federal budget figures show the deficit is down over \$70 billion dollars as compared to last year. Also, the prime lending rate was recently cut to 9 percent.

Why then is the federal deficit still \$155 billion and obviously much too high. The answer, of course, is that the government is spending much more than it receives from income generated by taxation. The pro-tax bunch claim that spending has already been cut to the bone (domestic) and that any other cuts must come from defense or new taxes.

Yet it seems hard for me to swallow the line about domestic spending when Congress saw fit to pour \$88 billion into new road construction and over \$10 billion more in the Clean Water Act. Both these spending bills were loaded with pork belly projects and waste, yet they were passed over presidential veto.

Conversely it has been well documented that when Congress wants to "cut" defense spending this does not mean any cuts in the districts of sitting senators and congressmen who rely so heavily on defense contracts. A good example is when the Pentagon considered closing the Philadelphia Naval Yard.

All of a sudden, Arlen Specter became a champion of America's defense department and explained how vital the shipyard was to keeping our military strong (not to mention his campaign for re-election).

Before there is any talk of raising taxes, the President must insist that all domestic spending come under control. Also if cuts must be made in defense, then they should be made on

their merits as to how valuable they are to America not Senator Doe's reelection bid.

If after all true spending cuts have been exhausted and a tax increase becomes unavoidable, then it must be clearly written in law that the new revenue will go only to reducing the deficit. The tax increase should also be phased out once its goal is achieved.

As the President convenes the "Budget Summit" this week with the leaders of Congress, I hope for the economy's sake he holds firm with his anti-tax philosophy. The way Congress has been talking they won't want anything less than a recession.

War Powers: fixing a broken law

L.A. Times-Washington Post Service

The War Powers Resolution has been law for 14 years, yet no president has ever chosen to honor its mandates and no Congress has ever demanded that its provisions be enforced. Successive presidents, beginning with Richard Nixon, over whose veto the act became law, through Gerald Ford, Jimmy Carter and Ronald Reagan have all seen the act as constitutionally infringing on executive authority.

Successive Congresses have insisted that the act is constitutionally proper. But Congress, confronted first with Lebanon in 1982 and then

Trathen apology

To the Editor:

I would like to take this opportunity to apologize to all groups who were affected by the recent error in the Homecoming Float results. TKE and AST should have been recognized at halftime of the game as winners of the float competition. They did not receive this recognition due to an error on our part. Other organizations were excited because they thought they had won and were very disappointed when the correct results were presented.

There is no way we can make up for the events that have taken place. We can promise you that we will review all our procedures to make sure that errors such as this do not happen again.

Sincerely,
John J. Trathen
Director of
Student Activities
and Kehr Union

**Election of
new editor
on Nov. 2
at 7 p.m. in
The Voice
office**

the Persian Gulf in 1987, has chosen not to press the point. In consequence, even the strongest of the resolution's supporters today acknowledge that it does not work.

An emerging bipartisan consensus is forming behind the idea of either repealing the Vietnam-era law or, more likely, significantly revising it. U.S. naval operations in the gulf have brought the matter to a head. The resolution says that the president must inform Congress speedily when American forces face "imminent hostilities," and then it requires the withdrawal of those forces within 90 days unless Congress authorizes their continued presence.

The gulf commitment has seen U.S. forces exposed not only to imminent

but also to actual hostilities. Congress has complained, but has not insisted that the law be complied with.

To some in Congress, this smacks of an institutional failure of responsibility.

The War Powers Resolution was supposed to prevent the United States from becoming involved in a war without explicit congressional approval. That remains a worthy purpose. But the argument that has gone back and forth between the White House and Congress over a half-generation focuses not on aims but on authority.

Congress insists that its constitutional powers to declare war and raise and support armies give it the right to be in at the beginning of war-making

decisions. Presidents respond that Congress is seeking to curb their constitutional powers in the conduct of foreign policy and control of the armed forces.

It is an old argument, predating the War Powers Resolution, and it is not likely to be resolved soon.

The issue, though, will not go away. A nation that has undertaken global commitments inevitably faces global risks and potential armed conflict. Congress attempted, in 1973, to make sure that it would have a say in controlling the extent of any conflict. That goal has not been abandoned, but Congress now seems determined to try to go at it in a different way. This time, maybe, it can write a more effective law.



Stock market crash 1987 no blip

L.A. Times-Washington Post Service

Washington is talking, but Wall Street seems to be listening to London and Tokyo or fixating on its own erratic pulse. After the second consecutive Black Monday, one expert said that the Dow Jones could swing anywhere from 1,700 to 3,500 as it seeks its proper level.

The publisher of a newsletter dealing with corporate takeovers threw up his hands and canceled the week's edition, saying: "Frankly, we are unable to make much sense of the still-evolving situation and prefer not to issue premature conclusions or half-baked comments to our readers."

When President Reagan sought to reassure Wall Street last week, the market stayed dead even. When the

president was bullish on America Monday, the Dow Jones plunged 156 points. And Tuesday, the president turned absolutely bearish for the first time, saying that anyone who had not detected serious economic clouds on the horizon should have been set straight by the calamity of the past two weeks. The market went up 52 points.

As the White House and congressional leaders actually sat down to negotiate deficit reductions, one Wall Streeter demanded that Washington react immediately or "it will get awful."

But it may take some time for Washington to take corrective action and probably it should. The economic clouds in fact are towering thunderheads in every direction. Solutions will be complicated, long in taking

hold and no doubt somewhat painful for the American public. The first step is a universal understanding that the crash is not simply some overnight blip that can be waved away.

The budget deficit is just one slice of the problem. The nation needs to deal with a massive trade deficit — \$156 billion last year — that may be the most complicated and intractable piece of the puzzle. There is the threat of protectionist trade legislation, and the awful lurking problem of Third World debt.

Wall Street, Congress and the administration also must act on internal market problems that have brought about considerable wretched excess and threatened to send Wall Street totally out of control.

New York Stock Exchange Chairman John J. Phelan Jr. has highlighted the problem of using credit to buy stocks that must be dumped in a falling market when the stocks lose equity and loans are called, thus making the plunge even worse.

Margin debt has doubled since 1984 to \$44 billion. Certainly worthy of exploration is the potential conflict of interest of brokers who lend clients money to finance the purchase of stock arranged by the same brokers. The same is true of the roles of professional traders, junk bonds and leveraged corporate takeovers.

The crisis demands determination, rationality and unity from Washington, but not overreaction. From Wall Street, for now, a little rationality would do.

**STUDENT
WBHQ!
RADIO 91.1**

*Brings you live
Husky Football*

WBHQ and
BU Football

A Winning combination

Kwik Shop Market SPECIALS:

Middleworth Chips

reg. \$1.39
now \$1.99

Two Liter Pepsi

reg. 1.69 plus tax

now .99 plus tax

Toastmaster Hot Dog Rolls

1 eight-pack free with
a \$5 purchase

Friday Lunch Special

Buy 1 reg. hoagie & get the second
reg. hoagie FREE

KWIK SHOP MARKET

233 Iron Street
The biggest little convenience store in the Valley.

Deli-Groceries-Frozen Foods
Come in and see us

With a \$5.00 purchase,
Receive a 2 liter bottle of
Top Pop Cola, Ginger Ale or Root Beer

STORE SLICED MEATS

*minced bologna
reg. \$1.79 lb.
now \$1.09 lb.
*cooked salami
reg. \$1.99 lb.
now \$1.49 lb.

Trans-Bridge
Travel with Trans-Bridge
to
**LEHIGH VALLEY, CLINTON,
NEWARK AIRPORT &
NEW YORK CITY**
Compare our Prices & Schedule

Leaves	Friday	Monday
Bloomsburg	7:50 pm	12:15 am
Lehighton	9:20 pm	1:35 am
Allentown Bus Terminal	9:45 pm	2:05 am
Bethlehem Bus Terminal	10:00 pm	
Lehigh Valley Ind. Park	10:15 pm	
Easton Bus Terminal	10:35 pm	
Clinton	10:55 pm	
Newark	11:50 pm	
New York City	12:20 am	

Call or stop in -- Carter Cut Rate
422 East Street/784-8689
and ask for Trans-Bridge schedule
effective: September 11, 1987

INXS 'Kicks' the fieldhouse

by Ken Kirsch

Staff Writer

It wasn't quite "standing-room-only," but Nelson Fieldhouse was nearly packed for Tuesday night's INXS show. About 1000 students and other fans seemed to enjoy the show to the hilt. As promised, INXS played through the majority of their new album, *KICK*, as if they were walking on thin ice, hoping for a positive crowd reaction. However, what resulted was lukewarm applause for the newer material.

"Welcome to the beginning of our world tour," vocalist Michael Hutchence bellowed in the middle of the show. "We thought we'd come see ya now, before we don't get a chance to again."

Hutchence's prediction of international superstardom for INXS may well lead to the rudest awakening of his life. Of the thousands of so-called "new rock" or "new wave," dance-rock bands that have come out in the last decade or so, few have made it to the top. Precious few. The Cars and U2 are such bands which have taken their creativity to its limits and have also managed to put out quite a few good records along the way and gain a large international following.

INXS couldn't even sell out the Nelson Fieldhouse. I suppose the next stop is J.F.K. Stadium for these guys. Uh...na, I don't think so. Trends would have it that INXS are on the downswing of their popularity, as well as their careers.

After an ill-received opening set by The Brandos, a new band which took some undeserved abuse from a few

immature members of the audience, INXS took the stage at 9:15 p.m. The early part of the show included a mix of older and newer material, interspersing tunes like "Guns in the Sky" from *KICK* and older tunes from *The Swing* and *Shaboo Shaboo*. "Don't Change" and "I Send a Message" highlighted the first part of the show.

However, the biggest applause came from the second part of the show, which featured a lot of more familiar material. "Listen Like Thieves" and the encore "What You Need" both got boisterous receptions.

After building crowd support, the band went into a 25 minute segment of *KICK* tunes, ending with a "beat-poem" recital which mixed superbly with the geometric background visuals. Pictures of rockets, presidents, words, and even a peace sign flashed across the backdrops throughout different segments of the show, mixing well with the lyrics of the songs.

The first part of the show ended with a rousing version of "The One Thing." The crowd screamed, yelled, clapped, and stomped the bleachers until INXS came out to do an encore. This is where the show really peaked.

After three encores, the band once again bade BU goodbye. But the crowd was not to be denied. Once again, the thunderous applause brought the band back.

A mediocre version of last year's megahit, "What You Need" and the *KICK* single "Need You Tonight" closed out the show.



INXS vocalist Michael Hutchence sings to the audience as the other band members play a new song from their album *Kicks*.

Photo by TJ Kemmerer

Grant helps teachers

Seventeen local school districts are participating in Bloomsburg University's 1987-1988 Education for Economic Security Act, Title II Grant titled "Upgrading Teacher Knowledge and Skills in Science

to interact directly with nationally recognized figures, receive the latest developments and innovations and thus indirectly benefit the student in science classrooms."

The \$49,786 grant provides for the training of secondary school teachers to improve their skills and knowledge in science.

"The importance of this grant is the indirect benefit to the students," Macauley said. "Teachers were able

to exchange information about their progress and plans for implementing curricular and accompanying technological changes.



Tartuffe (Whit MacLaughlin) preaches to Elmire (Julia Chambers) in "Tartuffe," a comedy by Moliere.

'African Queen' is still great film after 30 years

by Pat Andrews

Staff Writer

In February of 1953, Producer S.P. Eagle successfully adapted C.S. Forester's novel "The African Queen" into a cinematic blockbuster. The movie attained instant approval from movie-goers of the 50s, and is still enjoyable to viewers of the 80s.

The story is a lighthearted love story of a lady missionary and an ill-mannered boatman. The couple, during World War II, is trying to escape down an East African River in a dilapidated steamer to escape the Germans. The couple conjures up a clever plan to sink a German supply ship that sits in a large lake at the end of the river.

Eagle, who realized the importance of successfully capturing the true personalities of the characters in this film, turned to two of the best performers of the time: Humphrey Bogart and Katherine Hepburn.

The boat operator, Charlie (Humphrey Bogart), is like Oscar from the "Odd Couple" — a cigar smoking, whiskey drinking slob with a relaxed attitude about life. On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

Almost all of the action takes place on the "African Queen," Charles' cantankerous steamer which is not much larger than a rowboat. They encounter numerous difficulties along the journey, and this allows their relationship to develop and leaves room for many humorous situations.

However, the scenery in this movie is spectacular, considering the film era it was made in. Brilliant colors and footage enhance the entire movie.

In the end, the duo complete their mission by exploding the German supply ship and sending it to the bottom of the lake, barely escaping from the Germans. But of course, the couple lives happily ever after as man and wife.

This is definitely a vivacious film which leaves little room for boredom. Hepburn and Bogart vividly portray an off-beat love affair which keeps the audience entertained from beginning to end.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who sports a prissy attitude. And as predicted, their personalities clash, but during their troublesome journey they develop a comical love for one another.

On the other hand, Rosie (Katherine Hepburn) is a well dressed, mannerly English missionary who

SPORTS



Bloomsburg University's football team suffered a tough defeat to the hands of Millersville and will attempt to bounce back this week against Slippery Rock.

Photo by Michele Young

BU soccer outkicks Juniata

by Ruskin Mark
Staff Writer

Once again the BU soccer team faced an inferior team and once again they made a meal out of this game. It seems as though the team has resigned to the feeling that they cannot blow opponents away, so instead they lower their standard of play, and go for the dramatic win.

They won 2-1 against Juniata College. But the killer instinct, so vital towards dominating another team, has deserted Bloomsburg in the easier part of their season.

BU's Dave Tuscano continues to stand out as one the better finishers in the conference. After only eight minutes into the game, Tuscano executed a quick spin move in the penalty area

and sent a crisp low shot into the bottom corner of the net.

However, despite this early lead plus registering 13 shots and eight corner kicks, Tuscano's goal was the lone item for the Huskies in the first half.

By this time the Huskies, although playing well below their best, were not being threatened. Their biggest fear was allowing a goal which would have placed unnecessary pressure on them, not to mention the confidence boost it would have given to Juniata.

Twenty minutes into the second half, Co-Captain Jack Milligan took the initiative on his own. He sent a low curling corner kick into the near post of Juniata's goal and saw his shot take a deflection as it went into the net.

The 2-0 lead the Huskies enjoyed at this time did nothing to improve the standard of play. Head Coach Steve Goodwin made several personnel changes in an effort to ignite the team but the poor showing continued.

With just under 20 minutes left in the game he sent in the reserve players he felt were good enough to play against Juniata's starters.

Juniata managed a consolation goal through a penalty kick. Reserve keeper Rob Samtman guessed correctly but was unable to prevent the score.

The win pushed the Huskies to an even .500 mark as they prepare for their final encounter against Wilkes next Wednesday.

Husky cross country readies for conference championship

The Bloomsburg University women's and men's cross country teams will be in opposite positions when they compete in this Saturday's, Oct. 31, Pennsylvania Conference Championships at East Stroudsburg. The women's unit, boasting its best depth in several seasons, could challenge the perennial frontrunners, while lack of numbers has hurt the men's squad causing the Huskies to struggle this season.

Second year coach Tom Martucci's women's team has turned in some of its best showings since the sport was introduced at Bloomsburg in 1980 and could finish among the PC's top teams if the Huskies continue their solid grouping. Junior Brenda Bisset had been the top runner in each of the six invitationals this season as well as the lone tri-meet. Her best time of 18:37 came at the Lehigh Invitational two weeks ago. She finished ninth in last year's conference race at Edinboro.

Sophomore Laurie Alexander and freshman Pam Mitchell have consistently finished just behind Bisset for the Huskies. Alexander's top clocking was also registered at the Lehigh event, a time of 18:54, while Mitchell ran in 19:31 at the same race. Alexander placed 23rd in last season's PC race.

Several other runners will have to turn in solid per-

formances if the Huskies are to better their best previous showing at the meet, a fourth place finish in 1980. Sophomore Julie Saville was 26th at the 1986 PC meet, and senior Sharon Haug finished 34th. Both runners should use the year of experience to their advantage. Betty Ann Zarr was 48th a year ago, and, along with the newcomers Kelly McCullough and Loreen Miller and veterans Karen Reiss and Lynne Ritz, give the Huskies several competitors who could finish high enough to help the team place among the leaders.

Sophomore Tom Kuntzleman is the top returning placewinner for the Huskies' men's squad. He has been setting the pace for the team this season after finishing 42nd individually in last year's PC championships as the Huskies placed 11th as a team. Kuntzleman's time of 25:27 in the Mansfield Invitational is the best clocking for the men.

Junior Mark Elsasser finished 66th in last season's PC meet and has been the Huskies' second runner this season, while Larry Meekes, Bert Hindman, Ed Andrewlevich and Mark Pierce will round out the Huskies' unit making their first appearance at the conference championships.

Field hockey prepares for PSAC tourney after loss

Four top-20 NCAA Division III squads will vie for the Pennsylvania Conference field hockey championship this Friday and Saturday, Oct. 30-31, at Bloomsburg University.

The Huskies will put their once blemished 18-1 mark and number one rating on the line against 16th ranked

Shippensburg (8-6-1) in the tourney opener at noon on Friday, followed by a contest at 2 p.m. pitting 11th ranked East Stroudsburg (12-6-1) against Slippery Rock (11-3), which is rated 14th.

East Stroudsburg and Slippery

Rock each have regular-season game remaining on their respective schedules, while Bloomsburg and Shippensburg have completed their slates and are awaiting the conference tourney. There will definitely be a new champion crowned this season as two-time defending champion Millersville did not qualify for this year's championship event.

Coach Jan Hutchinson's club has raced to its perfect mark with a blend of balanced offense and outstanding defense. The Huskies have outshot their opponents by a huge margin and have recorded 13 shutouts behind goalies April Kolar and Lori Shelly in the 18 contests. Sophomore Sharon Reilly leads the attack with 10 goals and an assist, while senior Cindy Daecher and junior Alicia Terrizzi have each contributed nine goals and three assists.

Shippensburg has relied on the scoring of sophomore Claudine Morton, who has accounted for 10 goals in the Red Raiders 15 games. Goalie Deb Guth, a senior, has stopped 162 shots this season.

East Stroudsburg has already established a school record for victories in a season with the 12 wins entering Tuesday's game. The Warriors are led by Karen Moliver, who has set a school record for goals in a season with 17 to her credit this year.

Slippery Rock boasts an outstanding goalie in sophomore Judy Johns, who has been credited with 103 saves while allowing just nine goals in the Rockets' 14 games. She has registered seven shutouts. Juniors Marlene Hall and Chris Peuplie have scored eight and six goals, respectively, to lead the offense.

This is the seventh straight PC tournament appearance for Bloomsburg, which won titles in 1983 and 1984. Shippensburg has played in five conference events, last appearing in 1985, while East Stroudsburg was in last year's tourney with their only other showing coming in 1982. Slippery Rock is making its first trip to the conference tournament.

ATTENTION SENIORS

You have an idea where you would like to be ten years from now financially. Today's investment opportunities can help you realize that goal.

You can start an investment portfolio for only \$25

JUST \$25

You've been paying everyone else over the past years.

START PAYING YOURSELF.

Call for an appointment today.

Lincoln Investment Planning, Inc.

246 West Main ST.

Bloomsburg, PA 17815

784-2195

TONIGHT !

Moonlight Madness at the University Store

6-10 pm

Store closed 4:30 - 6:00 for preparation

20 % OFF

Imprinted Clothing

Emblematic Gifts

Posters

Non-text books in stock

Come in costume and receive an

Additional 10-40 % off any one item of your choice

Free Candy, Gum & Balloons !



Bloomsburg University's field hockey team lost its first game of the season to Division I Bucknell by a 2-1 score in the pouring rain. The Huskies now turn towards the PSAC tournament which they host this weekend.

Photo by Imtiaz Ali Tej

SALE!

20% OFF ALL JEWELRY

Que Pasa Boutique

NOW THRU OCT. 31

144 E. Main St., Bloomsburg Williamsport