<u>Effectiveness of Kinesiotaping on Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: A Systematic Review</u>





Introduction

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) is one of the most widely-known and most common UE diagnosis that is caused by compression to the median nerve. This disease has a significant impact on the work force as it heavily affects those who perform repetitive wrist motion within their line of work (e.g. hairdresser). In recent years, the common approach in treating CTS involved splinting, injections, and/or other various conservative therapy treatments. Over the past few years there has been an apparent increase in the use of Kinesiotaping, and few RCT's have been conducted to investigate Kinesiotape's effects towards treating CTS. While some research has been conducted, there is no evidence of a SR. Therefore, the purpose of this review is to determine Kinesio tape's effect on improving CTS pain levels, symptom severity, and patient function measured by the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) and the Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire's (BCTQ) symptom severity and function subsections.

Methods

Databases searched:

 Cochrane (Database of SR & Central Register of Controlled Trials), CINAHL, MEDLINE, PEDRO, PubMed, and TRIP •Keywords: 1. Kinesiotape or KT or Kinesio Tape. 2. CTS or carpal tunnel syndrome. 3. Treatment or intervention or evaluation. •Dates searched: 2010 to 2020

Inclusion and Exclusion criteria KT systematic review						
Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria					
RCT	Non-RCT					
N = ≥ 30	Non-CTS related					
CTS related	Unable to access					
date: ≤ 10 years	On-going trial					
English	Foreign					
	date: > 10 years					
English	Foreign date: > 10 years					

StudyReasonGüner et al.PEDro score of 4Mindy L, Pou Y.N = 4J. Öncü et al.ForeignChang HY et al.Non-RCT	Excluded article description and reason for exclusion						
Güner et al.PEDro score of 4Mindy L, Pou Y.N = 4J. Öncü et al.ForeignChang HY et al.Non-RCT	Study	Reason					
Mindy L, Pou Y.N = 4J. Öncü et al.ForeignChang HY et al.Non-RCTDifference of a logical statements of a logical statement in Decision	Güner et al.	PEDro score of 4					
J. Öncü et al.ForeignChang HY et al.Non-RCT	Mindy L, Pou Y.	N = 4					
Chang HY et al. Non-RCT	J. Öncü et al.	Foreign					
Diamente et el	Chang HY et al.	Non-RCT					
D'Angelo et al. Systematic Review	D'Angelo et al.	Systematic Review					
Krause et al. Qualitative Study	Krause et al.	Qualitative Study					
Kaplan et al. Unable to access	Kaplan et al.	Unable to access					
Soheir et al. N = 15	Soheir et al.	N = 15					

Methodological rating of the RCT's were completed using the PEDro criterion score. At least 2 raters read each of the 5 articles and were blinded to individual PEDro scores. No disagreements between raters were evident. Articles meeting the cutoff PEDro criterion score (>7) were then identified with the weighted average standardized effect size (SES) calculated for control and intervention groups. Sensitivity analysis was completed by removing the study with the largest sample size and re-calculating weighted average SES.



					DRICI		w dia	aram	ofeti	Idiae					
htification	Uniqu	e stu datab	dies id ase so	dentifie earchir A =	ed thro ng meth 9	ugh ele hodolog	octroni gy	e l		Additi hroug	onal u h forw	nique stu ard/back B = 4	udies ware	identified d searching	
Iden	г			Ļ							Ļ				
ing				т	otal un	ique stu (C = A	udies (+ B) :	no dup = <mark>13</mark>	licates)					
Screen	г					Ļ			_	I					_
		Stud	ies pa	assed in (I	nclusio D = C –	n/exclu E) = <mark>6</mark>	sion so	reenin	g		Stud	lies exclu	uded, E = 7	, with reasons 7	
bility	L					ļ				·					
Eligi		:	Studio	es pass (F	ed qua = D – Q	lity ass 3) = <mark>5</mark>	essme	nt		-,	SI	udies no as:	ot pa sessn G =	ssed quality nent 1	
ded										_					
Incluc			Studi	ies incl (F	uded o I = F — I	n forest I) = <mark>5</mark>	t plot(s	5)			Studie in SR a not	es includ analysis l on fores plot(s)	ed but t		
Table 3.		Me	thod	ologic	al rat	ing of	RCT'	s bas	ed on	the F	PEDR	O scoi	res]	
						Qual	ity As	ssess PE	ment Dro S	: Score	•				
Study			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Total	
Akturk e Külcü et	et. AI. t. AI.	_	Y Y	N Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	N N	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y		<u> </u>	-
Kruase	et al.		Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y		8	
Rania et	<u>. Al.</u>	_	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y				7	
Table 4. Di	sagree	ment	t betw	veen ra	aters in	dicated	d by *.	Perce	nt agre	emen	t betw	een rate	ers w	as 100% (50/	50).
					Des	criptio	n of i	nclud	ed art	icles.					
Study	Samp Size (KT/C	ole e G)		Parti Desc	cipant ription	t n		Tx D	escrip	tion			R	esult	
Akturk et al.	58 (28/30)	-Mild to moderate CTS diagnosed via ENMG over 3 mo. Pain a/o numbness spreading to palmar face of hand. 1+ positive finding between Tinel, Phalen, or carpal compression test				KI g treat rece grou exer	treatment group vs standard treatment group who received splinting. Both groups received the same exercise.					found in both groups but significant differences favoring KT group found in BCTQ-S and BCTQ-F.		
Külcü et al.	40 (20/20)	-18+ yo w/ mild- moderate CTS symptoms <1 year. Pain in median nn distribution during activity or numbness in the median nn distribution				KT group vs Placebo KT group and an OD group. Placebo KT group receive improper tape application and all 3 groups received the same exercises.					All 3 groups showed pain relief and decreases in symptom severity. Significant improvement only found in KT group for functional status.			ant
Krause et al.	47 (25/22)	-18+ yo in Southern California area. English speaking. Positive findings in ether the Tinel or Phalen's test CTS signs				KT g grou prote grou exer KT g appl but I patte prote 1-siz orthe	KT group vs Placebo KT group vs a standard CTS protocol group. All three groups received the same exercises. The placebo KT group had tape applied with 0% stretch but had the same wear pattern. The standard protocol group received a 1-size-fits-all cock-up orthosis.				Significant improvement in VAS scores only in the KT group. The KT group and placebo KT group showed significant improvement in function but not with the Orthotic group.			
Rania et al.	60 (30/30)	-Recruited from local OP clinic of neurology department. Symptoms > 3 mo. Positive Tinel's & Phalen's tests. Positive electrodiagnostic findings for CTS				KT group vs Control group. Both groups received the same exercises.					Significant difference in pain levels in favor of the KT group.			
Yildirim	38(19/	19)	-Age mode	s 18-6 erate C	0 w/ m CTS.	ild-	KT t Both	ape vs n group	contro s rece	ol grou vived ti	ip. S he e	Significa each gro	nt fir oup b	idings within ut not betwee	n

				PRIS	MA flo	w dia	gram	of stu	udies						
ntification	Unique da	studies io tabase s	dentifi earchir A =	ed thro ng meti 9	ugh ele hodoloj	ectroni gy	c	,	Addit throug	tional gh for	uniquo ward/l B	e stu back = <mark>4</mark>	dies ward	identified I searching	
Ide															
ing	Total unique studies (no duplicates) (C = A + B) = 13														
Screen					Ļ			_							
	s	tudies pa	assed i (nclusio D = C –	n/exclu E) = <mark>6</mark>	sion so	reenir	g	-,	St	udies e	exclu	ided, E = 7	with reaso	ons
bility					ļ										
Eligi		Studi	es pass (F	sed qua	ality ass G) = <mark>5</mark>	essme	nt				Studie	s no ass	t pas essn G = 1	ssed quality nent 1	′
cluded	Г	C 1						1		Stuc	lies in	clude	ed		
Ĕ		Stud	ies incl (i	uded o H = F –	n fores I) = <mark>5</mark>	t plot(:	5)			in Sł	t on fo plot(s I = 0	/sis b orest s))	t		
Table 3.		Method	ologio	cal rat	ing of Qual	RCT'	s bas ssess	ed or smen	the	PED	RO s	cor	es		
							PE	Dro S	Scor	e					
Study		1 V	2	3 V	4 ×	5 ×	6	7 V	8 V	9	1	0		Total	
Külcü et	t. Al.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	, ,	Y		9	
Kruase	et al.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Ν	Y	Y	Y	,	Y		8	
Rania et	AI.	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	, ,	Y		7	
Table 4 Di	sagreem	ent betw	IN /een.r/	r aters in	r dicate	r d by *	Y Perce	nt agre	Y Peme	Y nt bet	ween	ı rate	rs.w	9 as 100% (50/50)
	Justin			Des	criptic	on of i	nclud	ed ar	icles						
Study	Sample Size (KT/CG	e i)	Part Desc	icipant cription	t n		Tx D	escrip	tion				Re	esult	
Akturk et al.	58 (28/30)	-Mild to moderate CTS diagnosed via ENMG over 3 mo. Pain a/o numbness spreading to palmar face of hand. 1+ positive finding between Tinel, Phalen, or carpal compression test				KT (treat rece grou exer	treatment group who received splinting. Both groups received the same exercise.					found in both groups but significant differences favoring KT group found in BCTQ-S and BCTQ-F.			ts t d in
Külcü et al.	40 (20/20)	-18+ yo w/ mild- moderate CTS symptoms <1 year. Pain in median nn distribution during activity or numbness in the median nn distribution.				KT grou Plac impl and the s	KT group vs Placebo KT group and an OD group.All 3 g relief a sympt improper tape application and all 3 groups received the same exercises.All 3 g relief a sympt improv KT group status					grou and otom oven roup s.	ups s I dec I sev nent I for	showed pa creases in erity. Signi only found functional	in ficant I in
Krause et al.	47 (25/22)	-18+ yo in Southern California area. English speaking. Positive findings in ether the Tinel or Phalen's test CTS signs				KT g grou grou exer KT g appl but l patte 1-siz orth	KT group vs Placebo KT group vs a standard CTS protocol group. All three groups received the same exercises. The placebo KT group had tape applied with 0% stretch but had the same wear pattern. The standard protocol group received a 1-size-fits-all cock-up orthosis.					VAS scores only in the KT group. The KT group and placebo KT group showed significant improvement in function but not with the Orthotic group.			t in KT ed in
Rania et al.	60 (30/30)	-Recruited from local OP clinic of neurology department. Symptoms > 3 mo. Positive Tinel's & Phalen's tests. Positive electrodiagnostic findings for CTS				KT (grou rece exer	KT group vs Control group. Both groups received the same exercises.			Significant difference in pain levels in favor of the KT group.					
Yildirim et al.	38(19/19	9) -Age mode Sym	erate (ptoms	60 w/ m CTS. >3 mo	nild- o.	KT t Both sam	ape vs i group e exei	s contro os rece cises	ol gro eived t	up. the	Signi each group	ficar gro os.	nt fin up b	dings with ut not betw	in veen

Ciscie Constants and a second and a second carpal tunnel syndrome: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Hand Therapy. March 2020. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-7-78. Rania AR, Battecha KH, Mansour WT. Influence of Kinesio Tape in Treating Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Research. 2013;1(1):1-9. Yildirim P, Dilek B, Şahin E, Gülbahar S, Kızıl R. Ultrasonographic and clinical evaluation of additional review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional Syndrome: Journal Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal of Medical Science and Clinical evaluation of additional Syndrome: Journal Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal Syndrome: A systematic review of the Boston Carpal Tunnel Syndrome: Journal Syndrome contribution of kinesiotaping to tendon and nerve gliding exercises in the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome. Turk J Med Sci. 2018;48(5):925-932. Published 2018 Oct 31. doi:10.3906/sag-1709-72.

Zachary D Myers SPT

Jennifer S DeYoung MOT, OTR/L, CHT

Mary Ann Holbein-Jenny PT, DPT, PhD

Graduate School of Physical Therapy, Slippery Rock University

<u>Results</u>	

VAS Effect Size (ES) of Both Groups; Pre-Post Intervention Differ											
VAS (MCID=1.64)											
Study	KT Group (N)	KT Effect Size	Control Group (N)	C							
Külcü et. Al.	20	2.5 cm	20								
Krause et al.	25	5.4 (mm*)	22								
Rania et. Al.	30	5.2 cm	30								
Table 6 ///reuse at	$a = 2020 \cdot [* - Baaaa$	reher and the \	A S used mm for magain	rom							

BCTQ-Function Effect Size (ES) of Both Groups; Pre-Post Intervention								
BCTQ-Function (MCID=2.05)								
Study	KT Group (N)	KT Effect Size	Control Group (N)	С				

Akturk et. Al.	28	9.06	30	
Külcü et. Al.	20	6.9	20	
Krause et al.	25	0.2	22	
Yildirim et. Al.	19	7.31	19	

Table 7. (Leite JC et al., 2006)

BCTQ-Symptom Severity Effect Size (ES) of Both Groups; Pre-Post Intervention Difference

BCTQ-Symptom Severity (MCID=1.55)									
Study	KT Group (N)	KT Effect Size	Control Group (N)	CG Effect Size					
Akturk et. Al.	28	10.4	30	0.3					
Külcü et. Al.	20	12.0	20	8.6					
Krause et al.	25	0.2	22	0.4					
Yildirim et. Al.	19	11.1	19	12.54					

Table 8. (Leite JC et al., 2006).





Figure 2.







Figure 3.

0.5

3.5

0.3

6.14

CG

•As noted by the forest plots, the combined weighted SES for KT groups were all considered large, as all 3 groups exceeded the 'large SES' cutoff score of 0.8. The combined weighted SES for control groups for CTS symptom severity and function fell below this same SES cut-off but showed a large SES for pain levels.

•Individual t-tests were conducted, and no significant differences between groups were found in any of the 3 outcome measures (α =0.05; CI=95%: Symptom Severity: p = 0.5590, Function: p = 0.7327, Pain : p = 0.3591).

• Findings show a more favorable improvement towards the KT groups noted by the MCID scores (Tables 6, 7, & 8) for BCTQ-F, BCTQ-S, and VAS.

Discussion

•All KT groups demonstrated large effect sizes (>0.8). The researchers recognize that even small effect sizes may still provide clinical meaningfulness to a clinician. Although exercise alone groups showed moderate effects sizes, the data presented in this SR suggests that KT was favorable to exercise alone. Individual t-tests were conducted and indicated no statistically significant difference between the groups, but that is not to say that the KT treatment was not more favorable. •Limitations: KT, being a broad term that encompasses many different names, can be used for various treatment strategies which may have caused the search keywords to be too specific or too broad. Limited to an initial small amount of total articles to review resulting in a lower than desired amount of studies for each data set.

•Clinical implications: KT may assist relief in pain, symptom severity, and/or improve function. This study recognizes that some clinicians may only be interested in 1 of the 3 dependent variables presented in our research, and the data does allow the use of KT in conjunction with empirical evidence from the clinician/researcher. Both groups in each study received the same exercises, but as the control groups showed improvement noted by MCID scores, the data suggest greater favorability towards the KT groups noted by SES.

• Future research: Specifically compare other interventions to KT such as: splinting, physical agent modalities, casting, and/or surgery. This study primarily focused on KT groups being compared to placebo-KT groups that received only exercise.

Conclusion

KT may be an effective intervention to improve CTS pain, symptom severity, and function, but may not be more superior than other conservative interventions as there were no significant differences between groups for all 3 outcome measures conducted in the SR.